GRASSROOTS JOURNALS

Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources, Vol.8 No.2 (August 2025)
ISSN: 2581-6853 | CODEN: GJNRA9 | Published by The Grassroots Institute
Website: https://grassrootsjournals.org/gjnr | Main Indexing: Scopus and WoS

ISSN 2581-6853 | 8(2) August 2025

00566 | Analytical Article

Gamifying Environmental Law: Strategizing Engagement and Compliance through Innovative Strategies

Reshma Suresh*[1], Saiji Jayakumar Sujatha[2], Nikhil Gopikuttan[3]
[1]VIT School of Law, Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai, India.
Email: reshma.suresh@vit.ac.in | ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0006-7160-3177
[2]VIT School of Law, Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai, India. Email: saiji.js@vit.ac.in
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0001-3743-7041
[3]VIT School of Law, Vellore Institute of Technology, Chennai, India. Email: nikhil.g@vit.ac.in
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0003-7877-3513
**Corresponding author*

How to cite this paper: Suresh, R., Sujatha, S.J. and Gopikuttan, N. (2025). Gamifying Environmental Law: Strategizing Engagement and Compliance through Innovative Strategies. *Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources,* 8(2): 342-357. Doi: https://doi.org/10.33002/nr2581.6853.080217

Received: 25 April 2025
Reviewed: 15 June 2025
Provisionally Accepted: 19 June 2025
Revised: 26 June 2025
Finally Accepted: 05 July 2025
Published: 25 August 2025
Copyright © 2025 by author(s)

Publisher's Note: We stay neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps, permissions taken by authors and institutional affiliations.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).
http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Open Access

Executive Chief Editor
Dr. Hasrat Arjjumend
Associate Editor
Dr. Usongo Patience
Assistant Managing Editor
Mr. Kartik Omanakuttan

Abstract

Environmental law has struggled long with concerns of public non-participation, inefficient enforcement, and compliance mechanisms. The paper suggests the use of gamification as a tool for public engagement. It is based on the core idea that engagement with a subject matter can be significantly increased when it is presented through interactive, game-like experiences. When this is applied to environmental law, something that is often met with challenges because of its complex and abstract language and ineffective enforcement mechanisms, it is able to create an immersive learning and compliance experience that is equally impactful and memorable. Reflecting on psychological theories such as Self-Determination Theory, the Theory of Planned Behaviour, Goal Framing Theory, Octalysis framework, Behavioural economics, and social cognitive theory, the paper explains how gamification fosters autonomy, competence, social influence, and intrinsic motivation, which are all vital for sustained behavioural change that becomes quintessential for successful environmental regulation models. By leveraging technology, behavioural insights, and game theory, gamification fosters a culture of environmental governance, empowering individuals and institutions to become active participants in sustainability. Gamification increases the possibility of voluntary complying in line with environmental regulations by strategic enhancement of legal framework and duties. The paper, thus, argues for a paradigm shift in how environmental legal frameworks are researched, taught, communicated, and implemented, leveraging gamification as a strategic and transformative tool in green governance.

Keywords

Gamification; Environmental law; Compliance; Strategic; Governance

Introduction

We are living in a world where the attention span of individuals and the causes they believe in have undergone significant change. Farming is considered to be a not-so-rewarding job in a world that goes behind white collar jobs. However, the pop culture sensation, *Farmville*, brought a twist to it. The once considered menial, less rewarding job swept adults and youths alike when *Farmville* was introduced (Ajzen, 1991). The game made them harvest crops, raise livestock, and get into the fields, which they did without hesitation. This takes us to the understanding that an activity can make a subject matter interesting, even if the subject matter on which it is based is not interesting *per se* (Amadori, 2020). Game developers, at all points, have tried to make use of this aspect, turning monotonous and mundane acts into stimulating and interactive ones. This process is commonly known as gamification (Amriani *et al*., 2013).

Correlating this with environmental law, it plays a very pertinent role in protecting the planet. However, the complex's drafting language and relatively fewer consequences for non-compliance make it difficult for businesses as well as individuals to critically engage with it. Using innovative methods to take environmental law to the masses would further engagement and awareness in this regard, leading to more rooted and effective engagement. It, in effect, turns legal processes into more rewarding and interactive experiences. Environmental law has long struggled with challenges regarding public participation, lack of enforcement and compliance mechanisms. Environmental mandates and regulatory mechanisms have brought forth changes that has been less effective. Gamification has found success in domains like healthcare and education, but environmental law is a less explored terrain which has relied mostly on punitive measures, control and command mechanisms and educational campaigns which often fails to ensure voluntary public engagement and complying with (Smiderle *et al.,* 2020). This paper tries to spearhead a novel intervention combining environmental law with behavioural psychology, game theory and technological governance basing itself in theoretical frameworks like behavioural psychology, theory of planned behaviour, goal framing theory and octalysis framework to conceptualise an engagement-based model. The objective is to reframe environmental obligations into rewarding interactive experiences than formal duties (Douglas, 2021).

This paper is trying to offer an innovative model through which environmental governance can be effectively enforced. Beyond the perspective of theoretical innovation, the paper aims at practical policy application. An actionable framework is outlined for integrating gamified elements into environmental regulatory mechanisms based on the idea of collective responsibility and sustained behavioural changes. Gamification is looked at as transformative pathway for enhancing environmental law's effectiveness (Hsu, 2025).

Gamification and Legal Education

A persistent and significant problem academicians have been facing in the post-COVID world is the learners' decrease in attention span and motivation to be involved effectively in the classroom learning process (Bacharach and Hurley, 2001). This demands that

teachers frequently adopt methods in an innovative framework to combat the boredom of students (Beerda, 2021).

Gamification is the recent approach of adopting play and game designs into classrooms to motivate as well as engage with learners and provide them with a wholesome and enriching experience. The basic idea behind gamification is creating a virtual environment involving elements of competition to make the participants focused and attentive. Learners, through these methods, constantly interact with their peers, track their progress, and improve their skills through a meticulous process. Game thinking is generally explained as the use of game models to enhance the critical thinking and problem-solving capability of players (Castaneda, 2024). Studies show that gamification, in the format of rewarding the learners/participants with real-life/virtual incentives, promotes higher engagement and learning capacity. In gamification technology, features of friendly competition, such as leader boards, points, badges, scores, and levels, are introduced into the intranet portals of universities in a case-based manner (De Freitas, 2006).

Karl. M. Kapp, in his work on Gamification of learning and instruction, defines gamification as “using game-based mechanics, aesthetics, and game thinking to engage people, motivate action, promote learning, and solve problems” (Ferguson, 2016). Games generally serve the primary goal of entertaining players. However, gamification follows a more serious approach wherein game elements and activities are used in an educational environment to further independent learning and commitment (Huber, 1991). If we place gaming and learning on adjacent pedestals, it can be noted that both of them strive to achieve the end goal of overcoming obstacles. Academic success and student achievement share similarities with the players' progress in game environments.

Technology is already used substantively to enhance the student experience in technology. With the advent of Artificial Intelligence, technology is only moving forward at a very quick pace. Gamification also aims at increasing the usage of game-like elements for tracking student progress, and it incentivises collaboration and healthy competition among peers. Gamification essentially creates an environment that fosters interactions and helps students understand the concept better. It focuses on the learning curve and creates an “immersive learning” experience (Elya, 2023).

Student Learning Curve

Generational differences have caused a disconnect between students and professors, which makes it difficult to create an interactive environment in classrooms. Learning profiles differ significantly from generation to generation. Information was previously approached in a linear one-dimensional manner, progressing through concepts in a step-based manner (Lampropoulos and Kinshuk, 2024). The present generation of students can multitask easily, running parallel tasks during lectures and tackling them with ease. They work efficiently in collaborative environments and are less dependent on professors for knowledge transfer. Teachers increasingly serve as facilitators for guiding students and acquiring knowledge. This collaboration could only be fruitful when the classroom environment is interactive. Gamification, through its stimulating experience, ensures this.

Gamification, which incorporates elements of play and games into daily activities, significantly influences the choices individuals make, backed by insights and digital technology. When it comes to domains like environmental law, where the entire subject has a solution-based approach, it can turn out to be a more productive and enthusiastic way of learning and solving. The role of teachers in the modern environment has shifted from the role of knowledge provider to that of a facilitator. Students have a reasonable expectation that the syllabus and teaching followed in classrooms align with their needs as well as tastes. Immediate feedback is generally requested by students in a very fast-paced manner (Klimmt, 2009). In a technologically advanced classroom environment, this is possible only through the incorporation of advanced tools.

Gamification and Environmental Law

Environmental law plays a crucial role in the protection of natural resources and the mitigation of climate change. However, effectively ensuring compliance and furthering engagement among individuals, corporations, and governments remains a very difficult challenge. Gamification is basically the application of game-design principles in situations that contextually do not fit into the gaming environment. By furthering competition and incentives, gamification enhances and encourages sustainable behaviours (Sailer *et al.,* 2017) Typical game elements such as points, leaderboards, challenges, and rewards are incorporated into environmental regulatory frameworks. This has a substantial impact on human psychology, fosters motivation, and also furthers accountability.

Gamifying environmental law can effectively make sustainability discussions more interactive. A key strategy in this approach is implementing a points and rewards system that allocates points for eco-friendly behaviours. In the case of students, this can involve awarding special credit points and general recognition of their efforts. On a larger scale, individuals could be provided with rewards like tax incentives, discounts, and public recognition. Digital applications could be launched that track and award compliance with environmental laws. Leaderboards and competitions, at the student level, could rank students in accordance with eco-friendly initiatives and sustainability metrics. Certifications and rankings awarded to students based on their performance could boost morale and encourage them to pursue similar initiatives. Another effective way to gamify environmental law is by using virtual and augmented reality to simulate real-world environmental impacts and the consequences of various policies (Strada *et al.,* 2023). Additionally, training programs could be implemented to educate students on legal requirements and best practices in the field. Community conservation missions like tree planting or waste reduction also improve on these aspects. This would help the players transform the virtual exercise into a practical one focused on elements of conservation and social change. Apps that reward their users for environmental violation reporting or doing any eco-friendly task significantly improve the participation of citizens and support enforcement mechanisms. If schools, colleges, and corporate setups incorporate gamified systems, it has the potential to simplify complex environmental law problems and motivate voluntary participation and engagement.

Pro-Environmental Behaviour and Environmental Psychology

Advanced environmental psychology research suggests that the gamification method promotes pro-environmental behaviours (PEB). Pro-environmental behaviour is also referred to as "environmental behaviour" or "sustainable behaviour" and it relates to the concerns that benefit the environment exhaustively, including energy conservation, carbon reduction, environmental learning, recycling, and other related behaviours. PEB is referred to as "the sort of behaviour that intentionally minimizes the negative impact that an action can have on the environment" (Miao, Saleh and Zolkepli, 2022).

Modern behavioural science has made people capable of leaving old habits and replacing them with new ones in a strategic manner. Incorporating gamification into behavioural intervention situations refers to the utilisation of gamification elements in real-world environments like homes, workplaces, and schools. Gamification has the capability of reducing psychological barriers through its instant feedback system, which helps the users understand the role and relevance they have in the bigger picture of creating a sustainable environment. When the psychological distance that users have is reduced through gamification, it reinforces positive behaviour of students (Lieder, 2024).

Gamification and Environment Sustainability

Incorporating game elements to encourage users requires strategies that are more than leader boards, scorecards, and ranking systems. When done right, gamification can stimulate a player's desire for learning, competition, socialization skills, self-evaluation, and expression. It fosters a user-centric approach where the game centers around shared intention and gives an engaging experience with motivation to move towards eco-centric behaviours. Diverse media like board games, mobile applications, and electronic games can promote environmental behaviours.

Board Games

Board games are innovative games that spark creativity and provide entertainment to the players. Nature and animals have always been a common thematic material for creating inquisitiveness in players. In the popular board game *Catan*, players build settlements, cities, and roads to develop their territory. *Oil Springs*", the pack asks players to make the conscious choice of either limiting oil usage for the common good or risking any ruin for the goal of common victory (Dichev and Dicheva, 2017). This particular aspect of the game helps foster eco-friendly attitudes and allows players to experience or combat real-world sustainability issues.

In the board game *Keepcool*, players represent different countries and strategically address the challenges of climate change adaptation. The game requires an efficient balance between climate protection and lobbying interests. *Keepcool* stresses the importance of cooperation among countries to combat climate change. The game covers intricate issues like the free rider problem, trade-offs between adaptation and mitigation of climate concerns, and studies path dependency-based issues.

Terra Nil, another board game, talks about changing a barren land into a thriving and vibrant ecosystem. The game intends to make the users aware of the methods of reclaiming or recycling waste and provides an interactive interface (Ratinho, 2023). *CO_2: Second Chance* gives an idea to the players about the harmful effects of the climate crisis and opens up options to them for adopting strategies that help them survive and thrive. Players act as clouds in the board game *Petrichor,* and points are awarded on the basis of their ability to control the climate. In *Saving the Planet*, players take on the role of environmental activists, working to save planets from different threats. Habitat preservation and animal conservation were the key components in *Ark Nova*, where players are supposed to manage and protect a zoo. Board games can act as a valuable tool for teaching and raising awareness about environmental issues and other aligned laws (Xu, 2022). These games also promote interactive learning, foster problem-solving capabilities, and require players to collaborate and effectively communicate.

Video Games

Video games also act as a powerful tool for environmental awareness as well as action. Initiatives like *Playing for the Planet Alliance,* facilitated by UNEP, encourage the industry to incorporate environmental themes, messages, and reduce emissions. These games inform the users about ecological problems and their solutions using "a system of rewards and goals which motivate players, a narrative context which situates activity and establishes rules of engagement, learning content that is relevant to the narrative plot, and interactive cues that prompt learning and provide feedback" (Hennig *et al*., 2025). What distinguishes video games from other forms of media is their ability to shape players' perception and be the change makers, applying the knowledge that they gained through games in real-world situations and promoting sustainable development.

The video games in the genre can be divided into two categories: entertainment and serious environmental problems. Entertainment games deliver an enjoyable experience. Serious environmental games intend to change the attitude of players, risk perceptions, or knowledge about environmental matters in an entertaining manner. *Ice Flows*, a game created by the University of Exeter, contemplates the impact of climate change on the Antarctic ice sheet and is aimed at secondary school students. In the game *Beyond Blue,* players through the role of a deep-sea diver, explore the ocean and its fauna, enabling them to have an exhaustive experience about ocean life and the interdependency of different species. In *Minecraft*, players use and explore real sustainable practices for the protection of the environment, and make strategies and moves accordingly (Ulrich, 1997).

As Amadori points out, "we can wonder if and how video games can be used to pursue strategic communication objectives in the environmental field, bringing certain issues to public attention and to criticize the most problematic aspects", but the role of video games in serving as effective communication tools for environmental protection cannot be discarded (Dondlinger, 2007).

Virtual Reality Games

Science and technology are increasingly relied on now for tackling global problems, including environmental concerns. However, creating environmental awareness among the

masses is a difficult task and often requires a high level of investment and research to build a tried and tested successful model. Virtual Reality games create an immersive environment for game players and promote interaction between computers and humans to bring forth an abstract concept into reality. Multiple sensory capabilities of players are utilised in VR games since they are put in a 360-degree gaming environment (Ulrich, 1997).

Doomsday Vault, which is an adventurous puzzle-solving game, asks players to find and preserve seeds to grow crops. The virtual world that is created around the player immerses them and provides an interactive interface for them. *Ecoclicker* enables the player to click the screen and make the game character or avatar pick up the garbage so as to enable and build a pollution-free world. The more clicks, the more accurately the avatar picks up the garbage and frees Earth from pollution. *Refuse classification* necessitates the segregation of waste materials into distinct receptacles following established environmental standards (Shahzad, 2023). This is facilitated through a virtual reality (VR) format, providing an immersive experience to enhance understanding and promote responsible waste management practices. However, despite being available on the gaming platform Steam for some time, the number of downloads was considerably low considering its lack of technical expertise and immersiveness. As per changing times, VR games should be redesigned with a focus on a friendly player atmosphere, interaction, immersiveness, simplicity, rhythm, accessibility, and synchronization (Silverman, 2012).

Theoretical Foundations of Gamifying Environmental Law

Theoretical foundations for gamifying environmental law are influenced by various psychological, behavioural, and innovation-based theories aimed at creating a system fostering positive environmental behaviours.

Self-Determination Theory

Self-determination theory, which was developed by Deci and Ryan, focuses on motivation and incentivisation in individuals aimed at satisfying basic psychological needs. Gamification in environmental law can influence this by dissecting three specific psychological needs. Autonomy allows individuals to have control over the environmental choices they make. For instance, giving choices to players choices to make informed choices to reduce their carbon footprint or sustainable actions they can choose, can provide a sense of autonomy to the players. Competence focuses on the reward system and the progress of players (Krath, Schürmann and von Korflesch, 2021). Tracking energy savings in a game, waste reduction rate, and conservation mechanisms can make players feel competent in their actions. Relatedness creates a sense of connectedness of unity among players wherein they are competing with fellow players or sharing achievements, promoting positive social behaviour. The theory suggests that when these basic goals are satisfied, people are more likely to engage in activities out of sheer motivation and inspiration, helping foster long-term and sustainable behavioural changes.

Goal Framing Theory

Goal framing theory focuses on how we perceive and frame goals and how it ultimately affects people in achieving these goals. This can be done in two specific ways: Gain framing and loss framing. Gain framing emphasises the positive outcomes that will result from fulfilling a goal, and loss framing focuses on the negative outcomes that will happen if the goal is not fulfilled. This theory is extremely beneficial in gamifying environmental law. When goals are articulated with precision, they possess the potential to significantly influence both compliance and participation rates. The framing of goals can be categorized into two primary types: gain framing and loss framing. Gain framing emphasizes positive outcomes, such as financial savings or contributions to environmental sustainability, thereby fostering a sense of motivation and engagement. Conversely, loss framing highlights the potential negative consequences of non-compliance, including legal repercussions or economic penalties, which may serve as deterrents to undesired behaviour. Thus, how goals are framed can critically impact individuals' behaviours and decision-making process (Chen *et al.*, 2024).

Theory of Planned Behaviour

The Theory of Planned Behaviour, conceived by Icek Ajzen, establishes a connection between individuals' beliefs and their subsequent behaviours. Behaviour is invariably influenced by intentions, and intentions are guided by attitude, subjective norms, and perceived behavioural control. Attitude focuses on an individual's positive or negative evaluation with respect to the behaviour. Through rewards, progress tracking, and feedback, gamification can shape positive attitudes toward following environmental law. Subjective norms pertain to the perceived social pressures that influence individuals' decisions to engage in or refrain from specific behaviours. This concept highlights the role of societal expectations and the influence of peers, which can significantly impact behavioural choices and actions. A gaming environment can enable users to share their achievements, have healthy competition with peers, and foster an eco-friendly community. Perceived behavioural control focuses on the individual's perception of performing a particular behaviour that is influenced by factors like resources and opportunities.

Through tools, resources, and guidance available within the game, players can feel more confident about themselves, acting under environmental law. A gamified experience includes simulations or role-playing scenarios, which will help individuals understand compliance. The theory basically works with the assumption that a person's ability or intention to perform certain behaviour depends on their control over a certain situation (Leiss *et al.*, 2025).

Octalysis Framework

The Octalysis framework focuses on eight core elements that drive and motivate people. These core elements could be focused on to strategically build games that promote the idea of environmental sustainability. Epic meaning and calling highlight environmental protection and individuals' role in saving the planet. The processes of development and acknowledgment are fundamentally oriented towards affirming and validating the commitment to compliance with environmental legislation. Empowerment of creativity

and feedback enables individuals to come up with innovative ways to reduce their environmental footprint and get feedback on the actions they took. Ownership and possession provide an opportunity for players to focus on their achievements with respect to environmental protection inside the game. Social influence and relatedness use comparison to create social influence. Group challenges or competitions are undertaken to incentivise performance in games. Unpredictability and curiosity could be correlated to random challenges in the game related to environmental sustainability, making it a very exciting process. Loss and avoidance could be correlated to the negative consequences enshrined in games if the key environmental law goals are not followed. These eight core elements help designers develop games that are focused on individual satisfaction, entertainment, and motivation (Chen, Li and Kuo, 2023).

Behavioural Economics

Gamifying environmental law can also draw principles from behavioural economics, specifically focusing on concepts like nudging. It refers to subtle interventions that influence people to behave in a certain manner to get an expected outcome. The concept integrates foundational principles from both psychology and economics, examining the mechanisms through which individuals make decisions that diverge from established social constructs of reality. This divergence can be attributed to the presence of inherent cognitive biases that influence human judgment and behaviour. By analyzing these biases, the study underscores the complexities of decision-making processes and their implications for understanding human behaviour in socio-economic contexts. For instance, providing default eco-friendly choices in a game can influence individuals to follow sustainable practices. Feedback mechanisms can also influence players to do better (Bassanelli *et al.,* 2022). It is based on the idea that legal systems will work substantially better if they take into consideration how people make decisions, not just how they're supposed to. Legal thinking generally takes the standpoint that people's choices are based on facts and consequences. However, behavioural economics shows us that people are often influenced by mental shortcuts, emotions, and also habits they practice. In the context of an environmental law game, gamification can encourage players to understand more about environmental law by analysing how people behave in certain pre-set conditions. When it is shown to the players how much of an impact their actions and decisions can make, they will get motivated to avoid such outcomes. Immediate feedback regarding the system serves as a motivation to make sustainable legal choices. Leaderboards or social proofs show how other players are faring. Visual rewards and progress tracking create a sense of accomplishment and reinforce the outcomes of legal compliance. These setups help the players to understand environmental consequences in an engaging manner that aligns with social, legal, and ecological goals.

Social Cognitive Theory

Developed by Albert Bandura, the theory focuses on the role of observation and imitation in learning behaviour. Social elements are introduced into environmental law gamification, like showing how eco-friendly practices are followed by others, individuals will be influenced to mirror these behaviours themselves. Social influence and observational learning could be used to encourage participants to adopt sustainable

behaviour. The theory emphasises the importance of observational learning and social reinforcement in shaping behaviour. From an environmental law perspective, Social cognitive theory suggests that individuals are likely to adopt sustainable legal practices when they observe others doing so and believe that their actions make a difference. The theory rests on the idea that people learn not only through direct experience, but also by observing and modelling other individuals. This serves as social reinforcement. Social cognitive theory enhances the effectiveness of gamification by explaining how behaviour, learning, and motivation are integral to building tools that encourage sustained and positive behaviour change. In environmental law gamification, Social cognitive theory can help users internalise procedures and rules by effectively viewing them in meaningful social contexts. It, in a way, influences positive behaviour changes (Chen, Zhang and Hu, 2022).

Conclusion

Gamifying environmental law provides an effective strategy for promoting environmental sustainability. By integrating various behavioural theories into its realm, gamification can significantly impact and change individual as well as collective behaviour. Players can take charge of environmental actions, complete challenges, and get a sense of achievement when they complete sustainable goals. Gamifying environmental law enables a transformative approach in addressing global environmental challenges. Through these innovative strategies, individuals, organisations, and states can make the process of environmental legal compliance interactive, rewarding, and influential. It aids governments and institutions in creating an environmentally sustainable and legally compliant society. Traditional legal mechanisms often fail in motivating sustained environmental behaviour because they rely on punitive sanctions and decision-making measures. It serves as an interdisciplinary and progressive approach to improving public engagement, legal compliance, and environmental goals. The traditional tools for ensuring environmental sustainability – formal procedures, fines, and regulations often fail to understand the social, behavioural, and psychological reasons that may restrict a person from behaving in a certain manner. These questions must be addressed holistically and comprehensively. Gamification, thus, serves as a tool for bridging the gap between action and compliance.

References

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior, *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2): 179–211. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T.

Alzahrani, A.I., Mahmud, I., Ramayah, T., Alfarraj, O. and Alalwan, N. (2017). Extending the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) to explain online game playing among Malaysian undergraduate students. *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(4): 239–251. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2016.07.001.

Amadori, G. (2020). Video games for environmental communication: Raising awareness through sandbox games and streamers. *Comunicación y Sociedad*, 3: 391–404.

Amriani, A., Aji, A.F., Utomo, A.Y. and Junus, K.M. (2013). An empirical study of gamification impact on e-learning environment. In *Proceedings of the 2013 3rd*

International Conference on Computer Science and Network Technology. IEEE, October, 265–269.

Bacharach, M. and Hurley, S. (2001). The framing of games and the psychology of play. *Games and Economic Behavior*, 73(2): 459–469. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geb.2010.12.007.

Bassanelli, S., Vasta, N., Bucchiarone, A. and Marconi, A. (2022). Gamification for behavior change: A scientometric review. *Acta Psychologica*, 228: 103657. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2022.103657.

Beerda, J. (2021). The Octalysis framework: The power of behavioral science behind gamification. The Octalysis Group. Available online at: https://tinyurl.com/42vrwt3u (accessed on 4 May 2025).

Castaneda, S. (2024). Playing for the planet: Gamification as a tool for environmental engagement. *The Decision Lab*. Available online at: https://tinyurl.com/27s854pj [accessed on 8 April 2025].

Castaneda, S. (2024). Playing for the planet: Gamification as a tool for environmental engagement. *The Decision Lab*, Available online at: https://tinyurl.com/27s854pj [accessed on 4 May 2025].

Chappin, E.J.L., Bijvoet, X. and Oei, A. (2017). Teaching sustainability to a broad audience through an entertainment game – The effect of Catan: Oil Springs. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 156: 556–568.

Chen, C.M., Li, M. and Kuo, C.P. (2023). A game-based learning system based on Octalysis gamification framework to promote employees Japanese learning, *Computers & Education*, 205: 104899. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104899.

Chen, C.M., Ming-Chaun, L. and Kuo, C.P. (2023). A Game-Based Learning System Based on Octalysis Gamification Framework to Promote Employees Japanese Learning. *Computers & Education*, 205 (4): 104899. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2023.104899.

Chen, J., He, M., Chen, J. and Zhang, C. (2024). Exploring the role and mechanisms of environmental serious games in promoting pro-environmental decision-making: a focused literature review and future research agenda. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15: 1455005. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1455005.

Chou, Y.K. (2025). Gamification research: How Farmville used black hat motivation to drive short-term behaviour. Available online at: https://tinyurl.com/yt46trhj [accessed on 4 May 2025].

De Freitas, S. (2006). Learning in immersive worlds: A review of game-based learning. Bristol: Joint Information Systems Committee (JISC).

De Freitas, S. (2006). *Learning in immersive worlds: A review of game-based learning*. Bristol: Joint Information Systems Committee.

Devarhubli, G., Kashyap, A.K. and Tomar, A.S. (2025). Interdisciplinarity Research in Sustainability: A 15 Year Bibliometric Analysis of Sustainability Journal. *Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources*, 8(1): 209–240. DOI: https://doi.org/10.33002/nr2581.6853.080108.

Devarhubli, G., Kashyap, A.K. and Tomar, A.S. (2025). Interdisciplinarity Research in Sustainability: A 15 Year Bibliometric Analysis of Sustainability. *Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources*, 8(1): 209–240. DOI: https://doi.org/10.33002/nr2581.6853.080108.

Dichev, C. and Dicheva, D. (2017). Gamifying education: what is known, what is believed and what remains uncertain: a critical review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 14(1): 9.

Dondlinger, M.J. (2007). Educational video game design: A review of the literature. *Journal of Applied Educational Technology*, 4(1): 21–31.

Dondlinger, M.J. (2007). Educational video game design: A review of the literature. *Journal of Applied Educational Technology*, 4(1): 21–31.

Douglas, B.D. and Brauer, M. (2021). Gamification to prevent climate change: A review of games and apps for sustainability. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 42: 89–94.

Elya, R. (2023). Gamification in immersive learning: A path to engaging and effective learning. Available online at: https://tinyurl.com/msbcj45p [accessed on 17 May 2025].

Evans, C. (2013). Making sense of assessment feedback in higher education. *Review of Educational Research*, 83(1): 70–120.

Ferguson, D.M. (2016). The gamification of legal education: Why games transcend the Langdellian model and how they can revolutionize law school. *Chapman Law Review*, 19: 629.

Fernández-Ruano, M.L. (2022). The use of gamification in environmental interpretation and its effect on customer-based destination brand equity: The moderating role of psychological distance. *Journal of Destination Marketing and Management*, 23: 100677. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2021.100677.

Fernández-Ruano, M.L. (2022). The use of gamification in environmental interpretation and its effect on customer-based destination brand equity: The moderating role of psychological distance. *Journal of Destination Marketing and Management*, 23: 100677. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2021.100677.

Hennig, B.D., Roberts, B.F., Welling, J.T., Pinal, M. and Ólafsson, J. (2025). Playing for the planet? A serious game approach to land use planning with students in rural Iceland. *Societies*, 15(1): 14.

Hsu, C.L. (2025). Environmental sustainability gamification: Conceptualization and scale development. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 212: 123978. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2025.123978.

Huber, G.P. (1991). Organizational learning: The contributing processes and the literatures. *Organization Science*, 2(1): 88–115.

Kapp, K.M. (2012). *The gamification of learning and instruction: Game-based methods and strategies for training and education*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley & Sons.

Kelk, N., Luscombe, G.M., Medlow, S. and Hickie, I.B. (2009). *Courting the blues: Attitudes towards depression in Australian law students and legal practitioners*. Sydney: Brain & Mind Research Institute.

Khrushch, O. (2021). Globalization, greed and glocal ecology: A psychological perspective. *Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources*, 4(3): 1–12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.33002/nr2581.6853.040301.

Klimmt, C. (2009). Serious games and social change: Why they (should) work. In: Ritterfeld, U., Cody, M. and Vorderer, P. (eds.), *Serious games: Mechanisms and effects*. New York: Routledge, 248–270. DOI: https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203891650.

Knutas, A., Hauge, J.B. and Petersen, S.A. (2018). Gamification at work: Employee motivations to participate and preferences for energy conservation. *Proceedings*

of the Mediterranean Conference on Information Systems (MCIS 2018). Available online at: https://aisel.aisnet.org/mcis2018/19/ [accessed on 18 June 2025].

Krath, J., Schürmann, L. and von Korflesch, H.F. (2021). Revealing the theoretical basis of gamification: A systematic review and analysis of theory in research on gamification, serious games and game-based learning. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 125: 106963. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106963.

Lampropoulos, G. and Kinshuk (2024). Virtual reality and gamification in education: A systematic review. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 72: 1691–1785.

Leiss, L., Großschedl, J., Wilde, M., Fränkel, S., Becker-Genschow, S. and Großmann, N. (2025). Gamification in education—teachers' perspectives through the lens of the theory of planned behavior. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16: 1571463. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1571463.

Lieder, F., Chen, P.Z., Prentice, M., Amo, V. and Tošić, M. (2024). Gamification of Behavior Change: Mathematical Principle and Proof-of-Concept Study. *JMIR Serious Games*, 12: e43078. DOI: https://doi.org/10.2196/43078.

Linde, N., Balian, A., Shabatura, T., Gryshova, I., Petrenko, O. and Shevchenko, A. (2024). Agricultural technologies as a tool for integrating artificial intelligence into the agricultural infrastructure of Ukraine. *Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources*, 7(3): 30–51. DOI: https://doi.org/10.33002/nr2581.6853.0703ukr02.

Martyniuk, A., Hubina, A., Kyseliuk, N., Alyieva, A., Litkovych, Y. and Tryndiuk, V. (2024). Educational Approaches to Cultivating Environmental Consciousness among Higher Education Students in Ukraine. *Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources,* 7(3): s115-s130. DOI: https://doi.org/10.33002/nr2581.6853.0703ukr06.

Miao, H., Saleh, M.S.M. and Zolkepli, I.A. (2022). Gamification as a learning tool for pro-environmental behavior: A systematic review. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 7(12): e001881.

Ostapenko, I., Zadykhaylo, D., Lyseiuk, A., Tunitska, Y. and Sierova, L. (2024). Global practices and experiences in developing a green economy amid financial crises. *Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources*, 7(3): 244–270. DOI: https://doi.org/10.33002/nr2581.6853.070314.

Potryvaieva, N. (2025). The impact of economic and technological factors on the global environment: Guest editorial. *Grassroots Journal of Natural Resources*, 8(1): e1–e12. DOI: https://doi.org/10.33002/nr2581.6853.080100.

Ratinho, E. and Martins, C. (2023). The role of gamified learning strategies in students motivation in high school and higher education: A systematic review, *Heliyon*, 9(8): e19159. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e19159.

Ro, M., Brauer, M., Kuntz, K., Shukla, R. and Bensch, I. (2017). Making cool choices for sustainability: Testing the effectiveness of a game-based approach to promoting pro-environmental behaviours. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 53: 20–30. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2017.06.007.

Rohenkohl do Canto, N., Grunert, K.G. and Dutra de Barcellos, M. (2023). Goal-framing theory in environmental behaviours: review, future research agenda and possible applications in behavioural change. *Journal of Social Marketing*. 13(1): 20–40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/JSOCM-03-2021-0058.

Sailer, M., Hense, J.U., Mayr, S.K. and Mandl, H. (2017). How gamification motivates: An experimental study of the effects of specific game design elements on

psychological need satisfaction. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 69: 371–380. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.12.033.

Shahzad, M.F., Xu, S., Rehman, O.U. and Javed, I. (2023). Impact of gamification on green consumption behavior integrating technological awareness, motivation, enjoyment and virtual CSR. *Scientific Reports*, 13: 21751. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-48291-3.

Silverman, G. (2012). Law games: The importance of virtual worlds and serious video games for the future of legal education *Cambridge University Press eBooks*, 130–157. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511997945.010.

Smartico (2025). Gamification and behavioral economics: Influencing decision-making through games. Available online at: https://www.smartico.ai/blog-post/gamification-behavioral-economics [accessed on 28 June 2025].

Smiderle, R., Rigo, S.J. and Marques, L.B (2020). The impact of gamification on students' learning, engagement and behavior based on their personality traits. *Smart Learning Environments*, 7: 3. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-019-0098-x.

Starks, K. (2014). Cognitive behavioural game design: A unified model for designing serious games. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5: 28. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00028.

Strada, F., Lopez, M.X., Fabricatore, C., dos Santos, A.D., Gyaurov, D., Battegazzorre, E. and Bottino, A. (2023). Leveraging a collaborative augmented reality serious game to promote sustainability awareness, commitment and adaptive problem-management. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 172: 103096. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2022.103096.

Ulrich, M. (1997). Games/simulations about environmental issues: existing tools and underlying concepts. In: *Proceedings of the 28th Annual International Conference of the International Simulation and Gaming Association*. Tilburg, The Netherlands, 1997. Tilburg: ISAGA, 301–311.

Xu. Y. (2022). The enhanced effect of VR on awareness of environmental protection. In: *Proceedings of the 2021 International Conference on Social Development and Media Communication (SDMC 2021)*: 391–404.

Authors' Declarations and Essential Ethical Compliances

Authors' Contributions (in accordance with ICMJE criteria for authorship)

Contribution	*Author 1*	*Author 2*	*Author 3*
Conceived and designed the research or analysis	Yes	Yes	Yes
Collected the data	Yes	No	No
Contributed to data analysis & interpretation	Yes	Yes	Yes
Wrote the article/paper	Yes	Yes	Yes
Critical revision of the article/paper	No	Yes	Yes
Editing of the article/paper	Yes	Yes	Yes
Supervision	No	Yes	Yes
Project Administration	Yes	No	No
Funding Acquisition	No	No	No
Overall Contribution Proportion (%)	60	20	20

Funding
No financial support was received for the research and writing of this article.

Research involving human bodies or organs or tissues (Helsinki Declaration)
The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved any human subject (body or organs) for experimentation. It was not clinical research. The contexts of human population/participation were only indirectly covered through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) or ethical obligation of Helsinki Declaration does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

Research involving animals (ARRIVE Checklist)
The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved any animal subject (body or organs) for experimentation. The research was not based on laboratory experiment involving any kind animal. The contexts of animals were only indirectly covered through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) or ethical obligation of ARRIVE does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

Research on Indigenous Peoples and/or Traditional Knowledge
The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not involved Indigenous Peoples as participants or respondents. The contexts of Indigenous Peoples or Indigenous Knowledge were only indirectly covered through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) or prior informed consent (PIC) of the respondents or Self-Declaration in this regard does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

Research involving Plants
The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has involved the plants for experiment and field studies. Some contexts of plants are also indirectly covered through literature review. Thus, during this research the author(s) obeyed the principles of the Convention on Biological Diversity and the Convention on the Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora.

Research Involving Local Community Participants (Non-Indigenous) or Children
The author(s) solemnly declare(s) that this research has not directly involved any local community participants or respondents belonging to non-Indigenous peoples. Neither this study involved any child in any form directly. The contexts of different humans, people, populations, men/women/children and ethnic people were only indirectly covered through literature review. Therefore, an Ethical Clearance (from a Committee or Authority) or prior informed consent (PIC) of the respondents or Self-Declaration in this regard does not apply in cases of this study or written work.

PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses)
The author(s) has/have NOT complied with PRISMA standards. It is not relevant in case of this study or written work.

Competing Interests/Conflict of Interest
Author(s) has/have no competing financial, professional, or personal interests from other parties or in publishing this manuscript. There is no conflict of interest with the publisher or the editorial team or the reviewers.

Attribution and Representation
All opinions and mistakes are the author(s)' own and cannot be attributed to the institutions they represent. The publisher is also not responsible either for such opinions and mistakes in the text or graphs or images.

Declaration of the Use of AI
During the preparation of this work, the authors have used AI [ChatGPT] to assists the script translation and proof reading. After using this tool, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the published article.

Rights and Permissions

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

***

To see original copy of these declarations signed by Corresponding/First Author (on behalf of other co-authors too), please download associated zip folder [Declarations] from the published Abstract page accessible through and linked with the DOI: https://doi.org/10.33002/nr2581.6853.080217.